

# Thymol, Benzofuranoid, and Phenylpropanoid Derivatives: Anti-inflammatory Constituents from *Eupatorium cannabinum*

Jih-Jung Chen,<sup>\*,†</sup> Yun-Chen Tsai,<sup>†</sup> Tsong-Long Hwang,<sup>‡</sup> and Tai-Chi Wang<sup>†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Graduate Institute of Pharmaceutical Technology, Tajen University, Pingtung 907, Taiwan, Republic of China <sup>‡</sup>Graduate Institute of Natural Products, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan 333, Taiwan, Republic of China

S Supporting Information

**ABSTRACT:** Five new compounds, 9-*O*-angeloyl-8,10-dehydrothymol (1), 9-(3-methylbutanoyl)-8,10-dehydrothymol (2), eupatobenzofuran (3), 2-hydroxy-2,6-dimethylbenzofuran-3(2*H*)-one (4), and 1-(2-hydroxy-4-methylphenyl)propan-1,2-dione (5), have been isolated from the aerial part of *Eupatorium cannabinum* subsp. *asiaticum*, together with 16 known compounds (6–21). Compounds 6–8, 11, 13, and 15 exhibited inhibition (IC<sub>50</sub> values  $\leq$  18.4  $\mu$ M) of superoxide anion generation by human neutrophils in response to formyl-L-methionyl-L-leucyl-L-phenylalanine/ cytochalasin B (fMLP/CB). Compounds 2, 3, 10, 13, and 15 inhibited fMLP/CB-induced elastase release with IC<sub>50</sub> values  $\leq$  18.3  $\mu$ M.



E upatorium cannabinum L. subsp. asiaticum Kitam. (Compositae)<sup>1</sup> is a perennial herb distributed in the Himalaya mountain range, China, and Taiwan. E. cannabinum, locally called Taiwan ze-lan or liu-yue-xue, has been used as a folk medicine to treat hepatitis, headache, diarrhea, hypertension, and diabetes mellitus in Taiwan.<sup>2,3</sup> Sesquiterpene lactones,<sup>4–11</sup> diterpenoids,<sup>12,13</sup> flavonoids,<sup>14–16</sup> pyrrolizidine alkaloids,<sup>17,18</sup> thymols,<sup>19,20</sup> benzofurans,<sup>21</sup> and their derivatives are widely distributed in plants of the genus *Eupatorium*. Many of these compounds were found to exhibit cytotoxic,<sup>4–11,14</sup> antimicrobial,<sup>12,13</sup> and anti-inflammatory<sup>16</sup> activities. In our studies on the anti-inflammatory constituents of Formosan plants, many species have been screened for in vitro inhibitory activity on neutrophil pro-inflammatory responses, and E. cannabinum has been found to be an active species. Five new compounds, 9-O-angeloyl-8,10-dehydrothymol (1), 9-(3-methylbutanoyl)-8,10-dehydrothymol (2), eupatobenzofuran (3), 2-hydroxy-2,6-dimethylbenzofuran-3(2H)-one (4), and 1-(2-hydroxy-4-methylphenyl)propan-1,2-dione (5) and 16 known compounds have been isolated and identified from the aerial part of E. cannabinum subsp. asiaticum. This paper describes the structural elucidation of 1-5 and the anti-inflammatory activities of the isolates.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chromatographic purification of the *n*-hexane-soluble fraction of an MeOH extract of the aerial part of *E. cannabinum* on a silica gel column and preparative TLC afforded five new (1-5) and 16 known compounds (6-21).



Received:December 16, 2010Published:March 10, 2011

		1			2	
position	$\delta_{ m H} \left( J  ext{ in Hz}  ight)$	NOESY	HMBC	$\delta_{ m H}$ (J in Hz)	NOESY	HMBC
2	6.76 br s	7	4, 6, 7	6.76 br s	7	3, 4, 6, 7
5	6.99 d (8.0)	6, 10a	1, 3, 8	6.97 d (8.0)	6, 9, 10a	1, 3, 8
6	6.70 br d (8.0)	5, 7	2, 4, 7	6.69 br d (8.0)	5, 7	2, 4, 5, 7
7	2.31 s	2, 6	1, 2, 6	2.31 s	2, 6	1, 2, 6
9	4.81 dd (1.2, 1.2)	10b	4, 10, 1'	4.75 dd (1.4, 1.4)	5, 10b, OH-3	4, 8, 10, 1'
10a	5.27 d (1.2)	5, 10b	4, 9	5.28 dt (1.4, 1.4)	5, 10b	4, 9
10b	5.47 d (1.2)	9, 10a	4, 8	5.48 dt (1.4, 1.4)	9, 10a	4, 8, 9
2'				2.27 d (7.0)	3', 4', 5'	1', 3', 4', 5'
3'	6.17 qq (7.2, 1.6)	4', 5'	1', 5'	2.13 m	2', 4', 5'	1', 4', 5'
4′	2.00 dq (7.2, 1.6)	3'	2', 3'	0.96 d (6.5)	2', 3'	2', 3', 5'
5'	1.93 dq (1.6, 1.6)	3'	1', 2', 3'	0.96 d (6.5)	2', 3'	2', 3', 4'
OH-3	6.93 br s		3, 4	6.70 s	9	3, 4
<sup>a</sup> Recorded in C	$DCl_3 \text{ at } 400 (1) \text{ and } 500$	(2) MHz. Values ir	n ppm ( $\delta$ ). J (in Hz	z) in parentheses.		

9-O-Angeloyl-8,10-dehydrothymol (1) was isolated as a colorless oil. Its molecular formula,  $C_{15}H_{18}O_3$ , was determined on the basis of the positive HRESIMS at m/z 269.1156  $[M + Na]^+$ (calcd 269.1154), and this was supported by the <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, and DEPT NMR data. The IR spectrum showed the presence of OH  $(3391 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  and carbonyl  $(1697 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  groups. Comparison of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR data of 1 with those of 9-isobutyryloxy-8,10dehydrothymol  $(10)^{22}$  suggested that their structures were closely related, except that the 9-O-angeloyl group [ $\delta$  1.93 (3H, dq, J = 1.6, 1.6 Hz, H-5'), 2.00 (3H, dq, J = 7.2, 1.6 Hz, H-4′), and 6.17 (1H, qq, *J* = 7.2, 1.6 Hz, H-3′)] of 1 replaced the 9-isobutyryloxy group [ $\delta$  1.19 (6H, d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, H-3' and H-4') and 2.63 (1H, m, H-2')] of 10. This was supported by the HMBC correlations observed between H-9 ( $\delta$  4.81)/C-1' ( $\delta$  168.4), H-3' (δ 6.17)/C-1' (δ 168.4), and H-5' (δ 1.93)/C-1' (δ 168.4) and by the NOESY correlations observed between H-3' ( $\delta$  6.17) and both H-4' ( $\delta$  2.00) and H-5' ( $\delta$  1.93). In addition, the Zconfiguration of the angeloyl moiety of 1 was established by the NOESY correlations between H-3' ( $\delta$  6.17) and Me-5' ( $\delta$  1.93). On the basis of the above data, the structure of 1 was elucidated as (*Z*)-2-(2-hydroxy-4-methylphenyl)allyl 2-methylbut-2-enoate, named 9-O-angeloyl-8,10-dehydrothymol, which was further confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY and NOESY (Table 1) experiments. The assignment of <sup>13</sup>C NMR resonances was confirmed by DEPT, HSQC, and HMBC (Table 1) techniques.

9-(3-Methylbutanoyl)-8,10-dehydrothymol (2) was isolated as a colorless oil with the molecular formula  $C_{15}H_{20}O_{3}$ , as determined by positive-ion HRESIMS, showing an  $[M + Na]^+$ ion at m/z 271.1307 (calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Na, 271.1310). The presence of a carbonyl group was revealed by a band at 1715 cm<sup>-</sup> in the IR spectrum and was confirmed by the resonance at  $\delta$  173.8 in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR data of **2** were similar to 9-isobutyryloxy-8,10-dehydrothymol (10),<sup>22</sup> except that the 3-methylbutanoyl group [ $\delta$  0.96 (6H, d, J = 6.5 Hz, H-4' and H-5′), 2.13 (1H, m, H-3′), 2.27 (2H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, H-2′)] at C-9 of 2 replaced the C-9 isobutyryloxy group of 10. This was supported by the NOESY correlations between H-2' ( $\delta$  2.27)/ H-3' ( $\delta$  2.13), H-2' ( $\delta$  2.27)/H-4' ( $\delta$  0.96), and H-3' ( $\delta$  2.13)/ H-5' ( $\delta$  0.96) and by the HMBC correlations between H-9 ( $\delta$ 4.75)/C-1' (δ 173.8), H-2' (δ 2.27)/C-1' (δ 173.8), H-3' (δ 2.13)/C-1' (δ 173.8), H-4' (δ 0.96)/C-2' (δ 43.3), and H-5' (δ

0.96)/C-3' ( $\delta$  25.7). The full assignment of <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR resonances was confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H $^{-1}$ H COSY, NOESY (Table 1), DEPT, HSQC, and HMBC (Table 1) techniques. According to the evidence above, the structure of **2** was elucidated as 2-(2-hydroxy-4-methylphenyl)allyl 3-methylbutanoate, named 9-(3-methylbutanoyl)-8,10-dehydrothymol.

Eupatobenzofuran (3) was obtained as an amorphous powder. The molecular formula C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>4</sub> was deduced from a molecular ion at m/z 262.1211 [M]<sup>+</sup> (calcd 262.1205) in the HREI mass spectrum. The presence of hydroxy and carbonyl groups was revealed by the bands at 3449 and 1719  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , respectively, in the IR spectrum. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR data of **3** were similar to those of 3-methyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-2,3-diol,<sup>23</sup> except that the 6-methyl [ $\delta$  2.35 (3H, s)] and 2-O-angeloyl groups [ $\delta$  1.87 (3H, d, J = 1.0 Hz, H-5'), 2.01 (3H, d, J = 7.3 Hz, H-4'), and 6.17 (1H, br q, J = 7.3 Hz, H-3')] of 3 replaced H-6 and the 2-hydroxy group of 3-methyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-2,3-diol.<sup>23</sup> This was supported by the following NOESY and HMBC correlations (Table 2): (a) NOESY correlations between Me-6 ( $\delta$  2.35)/H-5  $(\delta 6.84)$ , Me-6  $(\delta 2.35)$ /H-7  $(\delta 6.76)$ , and H-4  $(\delta 7.22)$ /H-5  $(\delta$ 6.84); (b) NOESY correlations between H-5' ( $\delta$  1.87) and Me-3  $(\delta 1.65)$ ; (c) HMBC correlations between H-2  $(\delta 6.56)/C-1'$   $(\delta$ 166.1), H-3' (δ 6.17)/C-1' (δ 166.1), H-4' (δ 2.01)/C-2' (δ 126.8), H-5' ( $\delta$  1.87)/C-1' ( $\delta$  166.1), and H-5' ( $\delta$  1.87)/C-3' ( $\delta$ 141.1); (d) HMBC correlations between Me-6 ( $\delta$  2.35) and C-5 ( $\delta$  123.0), C-6 ( $\delta$  141.5), and C-7 ( $\delta$  111.6). To further clarify the relative configuration of 3, a computer-assisted 3D structure (Figure 1) was obtained by using the molecular modeling program CS CHEM 3D Ultra 11.0, with MM2 force-field calculations for energy minimization. The calculated distances between H-5'/Me-3 (2.752 Å), Me-3/H-4 (2.473 Å), and H-2/ Me-3 (3.345 Å) are all less than 4 Å; this is consistent with the well-defined NOESY observed for each of these proton pairs. Thus, the structure of 3 was elucidated as (Z)-[ $(2S^*, 3R^*)$ -3hydroxy-3,6-dimethyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-2-yl] 2-methylbut-2-enoate, named eupatobenzofuran. This structure was supported by <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY and NOESY (Table 2) experiments, and <sup>13</sup>C NMR assignments were confirmed by DEPT, HSOC, and HMBC (Table 2) techniques.

2-Hydroxy-2,6-dimethylbenzofuran-3(2H)-one (4) had the molecular formula  $C_{10}H_{10}O_3$  as indicated by the sodiated

parentheses.

Table 2. <sup>1</sup>H NMR, NOESY, and HMBC Data of 3 and 4<sup>*a*</sup>

		3			4		
positior	$\delta_{\rm H} \left( J \text{ in Hz} \right)$	NOESY	НМВС	$\delta_{\rm H}(J{\rm in}{\rm Hz})$	NOESY	НМВС	
2	6.56 s	Me-3	1′, 7a				
4	7.22 d (7.5)	5, Me-3	3, 6, 7a	7.55 d (8.0)	5	3, 6, 7a	
5	6.84 br d (7.5)	4, Me-6	3a, 7	6.91 br d (8.0)	4, Me-6	3a, 7, Me-6	
7	6.76 br s	Me-6	3a, 5	6.86 br s	Me-6	3a, 5	
3′	6.17 br q (7.3)	4', 5'	1', 2', 5'	,			
4′	2.01 d (7.3)	3′	2', 3'				
5'	1.87 d (1.0)	3′, Me-3	1', 2', 3'	,			
Me-2				1.65 s	OH-2	2, 3	
Me-3	1.65 s	2, 5'	2, 3, 3a				
Me-6	2.35 s	5,7	5, 6, 7	2.44 s	5,7	5, 6, 7	
OH-2				3.35 br s	Me-2		
OH-3	2.15 br s						
$^a$ Recorded in CDCl $_3$ at 500 MHz. Values in ppm ( $\delta$ ). J (in Hz) in							



Figure 1. Selected NOESY correlations and relative configuration of 3.

HRESIMS ion peak at  $m/z = 201.0529 [M + Na]^+$  (calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Na, 201.0528). Hydroxy and carbonyl groups were revealed by IR bands at 3395 and 1705 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum showed the presence of two methyl groups  $[\delta 1.65 (3H, s, Me-2), 2.44 (3H, s, Me-6)]$ , a hydroxy group  $[\delta$ 3.35 (1H, br s, D<sub>2</sub>O exchangeable, OH-2)], and three ABXcoupled aromatic protons [ $\delta$  6.86 (1H, br s, H-7), 6.91 (1H, br d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-5), and 7.55 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-4)], similar to resonances described for 2,5-dimethyl-2-hydroxy-3(2H)benzofuranone,<sup>24</sup> except that the 6-methyl group  $\left[\delta 2.44\right]$  (3H, s)] of 4 replaced the 5-methyl group [ $\delta$  2.30 (3H, s)] of 2,5dimethyl-2-hydroxy-3(2H)-benzofuranone. This was supported by the HMBC correlations between Me-6 ( $\delta$  2.44) and C-5 ( $\delta$ 124.0), C-6 ( $\delta$  151.5), and C-7 (113.5) and by the NOESY correlations between H-4 ( $\delta$  7.55)/H-5 ( $\delta$  6.91), H-5 ( $\delta$  6.91)/ Me-6 ( $\delta$  2.44), and Me-6 ( $\delta$  2.44)/H-7 ( $\delta$  6.86). Furthermore, the full assignment of  ${}^{1}$ H and  ${}^{13}$ C NMR resonances was confirmed by  ${}^{1}H^{-1}H$  COSY, NOESY (Table 2), DEPT, HSQC, and HMBC (Table 2) techniques. On the basis of the evidence above, the structure of 4 was elucidated as 2-hydroxy-2,6dimethylbenzofuran-3(2H)-one.

1-(2-Hydroxy-4-methylphenyl)propan-1,2-dione (5) was isolated as a colorless oil. The ESIMS of 5 afforded an  $[M + Na]^+$  ion at m/z 201, implying a molecular formula of  $C_{10}H_{10}O_3$ , which was



Figure 2. NOESY (a) and HMBC (b) correlations of 5.

confirmed by HRESIMS (m/z 201.0532 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Na, 201.0528). The IR spectrum showed the presence of OH (3383 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and carbonyl (1714 cm<sup>-1</sup>) groups. Comparison of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR data of **5** with those of 1-(2-hydroxy-5-methylphenyl)propan-1,2-dione<sup>24</sup> suggested that their structures were closely related, except that the 4'-methyl group [ $\delta$  2.38 (3H, s)] of **5** replaced the 5'-methyl group [ $\delta$  2.25 (3H, s)] of 1-(2-hydroxy-5-methylphenyl)propan-1,2-dione. This was supported by the NOESY correlations between Me-4' ( $\delta$  2.38) and both H-3' ( $\delta$  6.85) and H-5' ( $\delta$  6.74) and by the HMBC correlations between Me-4' ( $\delta$  150.3), and C-5' (121.1). The structure of **5** was thus elucidated as 1-(2-hydroxy-4-methylphenyl)propan-1,2-dione. This was further confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H<sup>-1</sup>H COSY and NOESY (Figure 2) experiments. The assignment of <sup>13</sup>C NMR resonances was confirmed by DEPT, HSQC, and HMBC (Figure 2) techniques.

The known isolates were readily identified by a comparison of physical and spectroscopic data (UV, IR, <sup>1</sup>H NMR, [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub>, and MS) with corresponding authentic samples or literature values, and this included eight thymol derivaties, 9-acetoxy-8,10-epoxythymol 3-O-tiglate (6),<sup>25</sup> 9-acetoxy-8,10-dehydrothymol 3-O-tiglate (7),<sup>20</sup> 9-acetoxythymol 3-O-tiglate (8),<sup>20</sup> 9-hydroxy-8,10-dehydrothymol (9),<sup>26</sup> 9-isobutyryloxy-8,10-dehydrothymol (10),<sup>22</sup> 8-methoxy-9-O-isobutyrylthymol (11),<sup>20</sup> 8-methoxy-9-O-angeloylthymol (12),<sup>20</sup> 10-acetoxy-8-hydroxy-9-O-angeloylthymol (13),<sup>20</sup> a dimeric thymol derivative, 3',4',4a',9a'-tetrahydro-6,7'-dimethylspiro[benzofuran-3(2H),2'-pyrano-[2,3-b]benzofuran]-2,4a'-diol (14),<sup>27</sup> an acetophenone, 1-[2-hydroxy-4-(hydroxymethyl)phenyl]ethan-1-one (15),<sup>28</sup> a 1,4-diphenyl-butane-1,4-dione, hofmeisterin II (16),<sup>29</sup> a benzofuran, euparin (17),<sup>30</sup> a coumarin, 2H-chromen-2-one (18),<sup>31</sup> a triterpene, taraxasterol acetate (19),<sup>32</sup> and a mixture of  $\beta$ -sitosterol (20)<sup>33</sup> and stigmasterol (21).<sup>33</sup>

Neutrophils are known to play crucial roles in host defense against microorganisms and in pathogenesis of various diseases such as asthma, rheumatoid arthritis, chronic obstructive

	$\mathrm{IC}_{50}\left(\mu\mathrm{M} ight)^{b}$ or (Inh %) <sup>c</sup>	
compound	superoxide anion generation	elastase release
9-0-angeloyl-8,10-dehydrothymol (1)	$(20.3 \pm 5.6)^{e}$	$(23.0 \pm 11.3)$
9-(3-methylbutanoyl)-8,10-dehydrothymol (2)	$(39.6 \pm 8.3)^{f}$	$18.3\pm2.0$
eupatobenzofuran (3)	$(37.1 \pm 3.9)^{g}$	$11.3 \pm 2.9^{e}$
2-hydroxy-2,6-dimethylbenzofuran-3(2H)-one (4)	$(0.02 \pm 3.53)$	$(17.3 \pm 10.7)$
1-(2-hydroxy-4-methylphenyl)propan-1,2-dione (5)	$(10.1 \pm 6.9)$	$(37.3 \pm 9.3)^{e}$
9-acetoxy-8,10-epoxythymol 3-O-tiglate (6)	$8.32\pm1.02^{e}$	$25.8\pm1.8$
9-acetoxy-8,10-dehydrothymol 3-O-tiglate (7)	$8.50\pm1.63^{e}$	$(36.6 \pm 2.1)^g$
9-acetoxythymol 3-O-tiglate (8)	$18.4\pm6.4$	$(21.6\pm8.6)$
9-hydroxy-8,10-dehydrothymol (9)	$(2.67 \pm 4.75)$	$(1.86\pm2.38)$
9-isobutyryloxy-8,10-dehydrothymol (10)	$(32.7 \pm 3.8)^{g}$	$16.1\pm3.3$
8-methoxy-9-0-isobutyrylthymol (11)	$8.19\pm0.71^{e}$	$(30.0 \pm 2.2)^g$
8-methoxy-9-O-angeloylthymol (12)	$(14.7 \pm 6.1)$	$(13.6\pm9.1)$
10-acetoxy-8-hydroxy-9-O-angeloyIthmol (13)	$13.1\pm0.4$	$6.27\pm0.68^e$
3',4',4a',9a'-tetrahydro-6,7'-dimethylspiro[benzofuran-3(2H),2'-pyrano[2,3-b]benzofuran]-2,4a'-diol (14)	$(4.37 \pm 3.37)$	$(13.0 \pm 6.5)$
1-[2-hydroxy-4-(hydroxymethyl)phenyl]ethan-1-one (15)	$8.13\pm2.34^{e}$	$6.38\pm1.86^{e}$
hofmeisterin II (16)	$(7.34 \pm 6.64)$	$(1.77\pm8.14)$
euparin (17)	$(13.7 \pm 2.6)$	$(12.6\pm6.6)$
2H-chromen-2-one (18)	$(8.50 \pm 3.60)$	$(23.8 \pm 3.7)^{f}$
taraxasterol acetate (19)	$(0.68 \pm 2.62)$	$(4.73\pm1.58)$
mixture of $\beta$ -sitosterol (20) and stigmasterol (21)	$(24.3 \pm 5.7)$	$(13.2 \pm 5.0)$
LY294002 <sup>d</sup>	$1.09\pm0.11$	$1.98\pm0.25$

Table 3. In Vitro Inhibitory Effects of Compounds 1–21 from the Aerial Part of *E. cannabinum* on Superoxide Radical Anion Generation and Elastase Release by Human Neutrophils in Response to fMet-Leu-Phe/Cytochalasin  $B^a$ 

<sup>*a*</sup> Results are presented as average  $\pm$  SEM (n = 3-4). <sup>*b*</sup> Concentration necessary for 50% inhibition (IC<sub>50</sub>). <sup>*c*</sup> Percentage of inhibition (Inh %) at 10  $\mu$ g/mL. <sup>*d*</sup> LY294002, a phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase inhibitor, was used as a positive control for superoxide anion generation and elastase release. <sup>*c*</sup> p < 0.05 compared with the control. <sup>*f*</sup> p < 0.01 compared with the control.

pulmonary disease (COPD), and ischemia-reperfusion injury.<sup>34–37</sup> In response to different stimuli, activated neutrophils secrete a series of cytotoxins, such as the superoxide anion radical  $(O_2^{\bullet-})$ , a precursor to other reactive oxygen species (ROS), bioactive lipids, granule proteases, and neutrophil elastase, a major contributor to destruction of tissue in chronic inflammatory disease.<sup>37-39</sup> Suppression of the extensive or inappropriate activation of neutrophils by drugs has been proposed as a way to ameliorate inflammatory diseases. The in vitro effects on neutrophil pro-inflammatory responses of compounds isolated from the aerial part of E. cannabinum were evaluated by suppressing fMet-Leu-Phe/cytochalasin B (fMLP/CB)-induced superoxide anion  $(O_2^{\bullet-})$  generation and elastase release by human neutrophils. The inhibitory activity data on neutrophil pro-inflammatory responses are summarized in Table 3. LY294002 (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA), a phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase inhibitor, was used as a positive control for  $O_2^{\bullet-}$  generation and elastase release, respectively.<sup>40,41</sup> From the results of our biological tests, the following conclusions can be drawn: (a) 9-Acetoxy-8,10-epoxythymol 3-O-tiglate (6), 9-acetoxy-8,10-dehydrothymol 3-O-tiglate (7), 9-acetoxythymol 3-O-tiglate (8), 8-methoxy-9-O-isobutyrylthymol (11), 10-acetoxy-8-hydroxy-9-O-angeloylthymol (13), and 1-[2-hydroxy-4-(hydroxymethyl)phenyl]ethan-1-one (15) exhibited potent inhibition (IC<sub>50</sub> values  $\leq 18.4 \,\mu\text{M}$ ) of superoxide anion (O<sub>2</sub><sup>•-</sup>) generation by human neutrophils in response to fMLP/CB. (b) 9-(3-Methylbutanoyl)-8,10-dehydrothymol (2), eupatobenzofuran (3), 9-isobutyryloxy-8,10-dehydrothymol (10), 10acetoxy-8-hydroxy-9-O-angeloylthymol (13), and 1-[2-hydroxy-4-(hydroxymethyl)phenyl]ethan-1-one (15) inhibited fMLP/

CB-induced elastase release with IC<sub>50</sub> values  $\leq$  18.3  $\mu$ M. (c) Among the 9-acetoxythymol 3-O-tiglate analogues (6-8), compounds 6 (with an 8,10-epoxy group) and 7 (with a C-8-C-10 double bond) exhibited more effective inhibition than analogue 8 (with a C-8–C-10 single bond) against fMLP-induced  $O_2^{\bullet-}$ generation and elastase release. (d) Among the 3-hydroxy-8,10dehydrothymol analogues (1, 2, 9, and 10), compounds 2 (with a 3-methylbutanoyl group at C-9) and 10 (with a 9-isobutyryloxy group) exhibited more effective inhibition than analogues 1 (with a 9-O-angeloyl group) and 9 (with a 9-hydroxy group) against fMLP-induced O2<sup>•-</sup> generation and elastase release. (e) 8-Methoxy-9-O-isobutyrylthymol (11) (with a 9-O-isobutyryl group) exhibited more effective inhibition than its analogue, 8-methoxy-9-O-angeloylthymol (12) (with a 9-O-angeloyl substituent), against fMLP-induced  $O_2^{\bullet-}$  generation and elastase release. (f) 10-Acetoxy-8-hydroxy-9-O-angeloylthmol (13) and 1-[2-hydroxy-4-(hydroxymethyl)phenyl]ethan-1-one (15) were the most effective among the isolated compounds, with IC\_{50} values of 6.27  $\pm$ 0.68 and 8.13  $\pm$  2.34  $\mu$ M, respectively, against fMLP-induced elastase release and superoxide anion generation in vitro.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**General Experimental Procedures.** Optical rotations were measured using a Jasco DIP-370 polarimeter in CHCl<sub>3</sub>. UV spectra were obtained on a Jasco UV-240 spectrophotometer. IR spectra (neat or KBr) were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 2000 FT-IR spectrometer. NMR spectra, including COSY, NOESY, HMBC, and HSQC experiments, were recorded on a Varian Unity 400 or 500 spectrometer operating at 400 or 500 MHz (<sup>1</sup>H) and 100 or 125 MHz (<sup>13</sup>C), respectively, with chemical shifts given in ppm ( $\delta$ ), using TMS as an internal standard. ESI and HRESI-mass spectra were recorded on a Bruker APEX II mass spectrometer. EI and HREI-mass spectra were obtained on a Finnigan/Thermo Quest MAT 95XL mass spectrometer. Silica gel (70–230, 230–400 mesh) (Merck) was used for CC. Silica gel 60 F-254 (Merck) was used for TLC and preparative TLC.

**Plant Material.** The aerial part of *E. cannabinum* subsp. *asiaticum* was collected from Shuei-Shang, Chiayi County, Taiwan, in November 2009, and identified by J.-H. Huang. A voucher specimen (No. 251800) was deposited in the Herbarium of the Institute of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, College of Life Science, National Taiwan University, Taiwan.

Extraction and Separation. The shade-dried aerial part of E. cannabinum (4.1 kg) was pulverized and extracted three times with MeOH (15 L each) for 3 days. The MeOH extracts were concentrated under reduced pressure at 35 °C, and the residue (413 g) was partitioned between n-hexane and H<sub>2</sub>O (1:1, each 4 L). The n-hexane layer was concentrated to give a residue (fraction A, 116 g). The water layer was further extracted three times with EtOAc (3 L each), and the EtOAcsoluble part (fraction B, 90 g) and the water-solubles (fraction C, 188 g) were separated. Fraction A (116 g) was chromatographed on a 60 cm imes10 cm i.d. silica gel column (70–230 mesh, 4.7 kg), eluting with nhexane, gradually increasing the polarity with EtOAc and MeOH to give 11 fractions: A1 (3 L, n-hexane), A2 (5 L, n-hexane/EtOAc, 50:1), A3 (6 L, n-hexane/EtOAc, 30:1), A4 (5 L, n-hexane/EtOAc, 20:1), A5 (6 L, nhexane/EtOAc, 10:1), A6 (6 L, n-hexane/EtOAc, 5:1), A7 (6 L, nhexane/EtOAc, 3:1), A8 (6 L, n-hexane/EtOAc, 1:1), A9 (7 L, EtOAc), A10 (7 L, EtOAc/MeOH, 1:1), and A11 (4 L, MeOH). Fraction A2 (9.3 g) was chromatographed on silica gel (230-400 mesh, 372 g), eluting with *n*-hexane/EtOAc (20:1), to give 12 fractions (each 1.5 L, A2-1-A2-12). Fraction A2-6 (154 mg) was purified further by preparative TLC (silica gel, *n*-hexane/EtOAc, 20:1) to obtain 19 (5.4 mg) ( $R_f = 0.70$ ). Fraction A2-7 (146 mg) was purified further by preparative TLC (silica gel, *n*-hexane/EtOAc, 20:1) to yield 17 (4.2 mg) ( $R_f = 0.54$ ). Fraction A3 (12.7 g) was chromatographed on silica gel (230-400 mesh, 568 g), eluting with *n*-hexane/acetone (10:1), to give 13 fractions (each 1.6 L, A3-1-A3-13). Fraction A3-2 (703 mg) was washed with MeOH and filtered to obtain a mixture of 20 and 21 (194 mg) after recrystallization (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH, 1:5). Fraction A3-4 (947 mg) was separated by MPLC (42 g silica gel, 230-400 mesh, n-hexane/EtOAc, 10:1, 220 mL fraction) to give nine subfractions: A3-4-1-A3-4-9. Fraction A3-4-2 (128 mg) was purified further by preparative TLC (silica gel, nhexane/acetone, 10:1) to obtain 8 (6.5 mg) ( $R_f = 0.5$ ). Fraction A3-4-5 (139 mg) was purified further by preparative TLC (silica gel, *n*-hexane/  $CH_2Cl_2$ , 2:3) to yield 7 (9.7 mg) ( $R_f = 0.55$ ) and 11 (13.2 mg) ( $R_f =$ 0.53). Fraction A3-4-9 (133 mg) was purified further by preparative TLC (silica gel, *n*-hexane/acetone, 10:1) to afford **6** (26 mg) ( $R_f = 0.49$ ). Fraction A3-7 (145 mg) was purified further by preparative TLC (silica gel, *n*-hexane/CHCl<sub>3</sub>, 1:3) to obtain 10 (7.1 mg) ( $R_f = 0.41$ ). Fraction A3-9 (164 mg) was purified further by preparative TLC (silica gel, nhexane/CHCl<sub>3</sub>, 1:3) to yield 2 (5.7 mg) ( $R_f = 0.39$ ). Fraction A3-12 (176 mg) was purified further by preparative TLC (silica gel, n-hexane/ acetone, 3:1) to afford 3 (10.2 mg) ( $R_f = 0.38$ ). Fraction A6 (10.3 g) was chromatographed on silica gel (230-400 mesh, 425 g), eluting with nhexane/acetone (7:1), to give 10 fractions (each 950 mL, A6-1-A6-10). Fraction A6-2 (196 mg) was purified further by preparative TLC (silica gel, *n*-hexane/acetone, 10:1) to obtain 12 (7.5 mg) ( $R_f = 0.62$ ). Fraction A6-5 (166 mg) was purified further by preparative TLC (silica gel, nhexane/EtOAc, 10:1) to yield 16 (7.1 mg) ( $R_f = 0.56$ ). Fraction A6-7 (185 mg) was purified further by preparative TLC (silica gel, *n*-hexane/ EtOAc, 10:1) to afford 18 (19.7 mg) ( $R_f = 0.26$ ). Fraction A6-9 (157 mg) was purified further by preparative TLC (silica gel, n-hexane/ acetone, 7:1) to yield 1 (5.1 mg) ( $R_f = 0.33$ ). Fraction A7 (9.2 g) was

chromatographed on silica gel (403 g silica gel, 230–400 mesh, *n*-hexane/acetone, 6:1, 1.3 L fraction) to give 13 subfractions: A7-1–A7-13. Fraction A7-3 (182 mg) was purified further by preparative TLC (silica gel, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/acetone, 15:1) to obtain **13** (6.4 mg) ( $R_f$ = 0.69) and **15** (4.6 mg) ( $R_f$ = 0.61). Fraction A7-6 (160 mg) was purified further by preparative TLC (silica gel, CHCl<sub>3</sub>/acetone, 15:1) to yield **5** (7.4 mg) ( $R_f$ = 0.43). Fraction A7-9 (148 mg) was purified further by preparative TLC (silica gel, CHCl<sub>3</sub>/Acetone, 15:1) to afford **4** (4.1 mg) ( $R_f$ = 0.31). Fraction A7-10 (156 mg) was purified further by preparative TLC (silica gel, *n*-hexane/EtOAc, 15:1) to obtain **9** (6.5 mg) ( $R_f$ = 0.23). Fraction A7-11 (145 mg) was purified further by preparative TLC (silica gel, CHCl<sub>3</sub>/acetone, 15:1) to yield **14** (9.6 mg) ( $R_f$ = 0.50).

**Biological Assay.** The effects of the isolated compounds on neutrophil pro-inflammatory responses were evaluated by monitoring the inhibition of superoxide anion generation and elastase release in fMLP/CB-activated human neutrophils in a concentration-dependent manner.<sup>42</sup>

**Preparation of Human Neutrophils.** Human neutrophils from venous blood of healthy, adult volunteers (20–28 years old) were isolated using a standard method of dextran sedimentation prior to centrifugation in a Ficoll Hypaque gradient and hypotonic lysis of erythrocytes.<sup>43</sup> Purified neutrophils, containing >98% viable cells, as determined by the trypan blue exclusion method,<sup>44</sup> were resuspended in a Ca<sup>2+</sup>-free HBSS buffer at pH 7.4 and were maintained at 4 °C prior to use.

In Vitro Measurement of  $O_2^{\bullet-}$  Generation. Measurement of superoxide anion generation was based on the SOD-inhibitable reduction of ferricytochrome *c*.<sup>42</sup> In brief, after supplementation with 0.5 mg/mL ferricytochrome *c* and 1 mM Ca<sup>2+</sup>, neutrophils (6 × 10<sup>5</sup>/mL) were equilibrated at 37 °C for 2 min and incubated with different concentrations of compounds or DMSO (as control) for 5 min. Cells were incubated with cytochalasin B (1µg/mL) for 3 min prior to the activation with 100 nM formyl-L-methionyl-L-leucyl-L-phenylalanine for 10 min. Changes in absorbance with the reduction of ferricytochrome *c* at 550 nm were continuously monitored in a double-beam, six-cell positioner spectrophotometer with constant stirring (Hitachi U-3010, Tokyo, Japan). Calculations were based on differences in the reactions with and without SOD (100 U/mL) divided by the extinction coefficient for the reduction of ferricytochrome *c* ( $\varepsilon = 21.1/mM/10$  mm).

In Vitro Measurement of Elastase Release. Degranulation of azurophilic granules was determined by measuring elastase release as described previously.<sup>42</sup> Experiments were performed using MeO-Suc-Ala-Ala-Pro-Val-*p*-nitroanilide as the elastase substrate. Briefly, after supplementation with MeO-Suc-Ala-Ala-Pro-Val-*p*-nitroanilide (100  $\mu$ M), neutrophils (6 × 10<sup>5</sup>/mL) were equilibrated at 37 °C for 2 min and incubated with compounds for 5 min. Cells were stimulated with fMLP (100 nM)/CB (0.5  $\mu$ g/mL), and changes in absorbance at 405 nm were monitored continuously in order to assay elastase release. The results were expressed as the percent of elastase release in the fMLP/CB-activated, drug-free control system.

**Statistical Analysis.** Results are expressed as the mean  $\pm$  SEM, and comparisons were made using Student's *t*-test. A probability of 0.05 or less was considered significant. The software SigmaPlot was used for the statistical analysis.

9-O-Angeloyl-8,10-dehydrothymol (**1**): colorless oil; UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 240 (sh, 3.70), 283 (3.39) nm; IR (neat)  $\nu_{max}$  3391 (OH), 1697 (C=O) cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) data, see Table 1; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  15.9 (C-4'), 20.5 (C-5'), 21.2 (C-7), 65.6 (C-9), 116.3 (C-10), 116.7 (C-2), 120.9 (C-6), 122.5 (C-4), 127.1 (C-2'), 129.2 (C-5), 139.9 (C-1), 140.1 (C-3'), 142.0 (C-8), 153.4 (C-3), 168.4 (C-1'); ESIMS *m*/*z* 269 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 269.1156 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Na, 269.1154).

9-(3-Methylbutanoyl)-8,10-dehydrothymol (**2**): colorless oil; UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log ε) 209 (4.25), 238 (sh, 3.76), 284 (3.41) nm; IR (neat)  $\nu_{max}$  3420 (OH), 1715 (C=O) cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500

MHz) data, see Table 1; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz)  $\delta$  21.2 (C-7), 22.4 (C-4'), 22.4 (C-5'), 25.7 (C-3'), 43.3 (C-2'), 65.8 (C-9), 116.3 (C-10), 116.6 (C-2), 120.9 (C-6), 122.4 (C-4), 129.1 (C-5), 140.1 (C-1), 142.0 (C-8), 153.4 (C-3), 173.8 (C-1'); ESIMS *m*/*z* 271 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 271.1307 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Na, 271.1310).

Eupatobenzofuran (= (*Z*)-[(25\*,3*R*\*)-3-Hydroxy-3,6-dimethyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-2-yl] 2-methylbut-2-enoate) (**3**): amorphous powder; [ $\alpha$ ]<sup>25</sup><sub>D</sub> -7.5 (*c* 0.11, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 277 (3.35), 284 (3.32) nm; IR (neat)  $\nu_{max}$  3449 (OH), 1719 (C=O) cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) data, see Table 2; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz)  $\delta$ 15.9 (C-4'), 20.3 (C-5'), 20.5 (Me-3), 21.7 (Me-6), 79.8 (C-3), 105.2 (C-2), 111.6 (C-7), 122.7 (C-4), 123.0 (C-5), 126.8 (C-2'), 127.7 (C-3a), 141.1 (C-3'), 141.5 (C-6), 158.4 (C-7a), 166.1 (C-1'); EIMS *m/z* 262 [M]<sup>+</sup>; HREIMS *m/z* 262.1211 [M]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, 262.1205).

2-Hydroxy-2,6-dimethylbenzofuran-3(2H)-one (**4**): colorless oil; [α]<sup>25</sup><sub>D</sub> -6.7 (c 0.12, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 256 (3.67), 341 (3.15) nm; IR (neat)  $\nu_{max}$  3395 (OH), 1705 (C=O) cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) data, see Table 2; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz)  $\delta$  22.0 (Me-2), 22.7 (Me-6), 103.6 (C-2), 113.5 (C-7), 116.0 (C-3a), 124.0 (C-5), 125.0 (C-4), 151.5 (C-6), 170.5 (C-7a), 198.0 (C-3); ESIMS *m*/*z* 201 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 201.0529 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Na, 201.0528).

1-(2-Hydroxy-4-methylphenyl)propan-1,2-dione (**5**): colorless oil; UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log ε) 256 (4.01), 329 (3.60) nm; IR (neat)  $\nu_{max}$ 3383 (OH), 1714 (C=O) cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) δ 2.38 (3H, s, Me-4'), 2.52 (3H, s, H-3), 6.74 (1H, br d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, H-5'), 6.85 (1H, br s, H-3'), 7.64 (1H, d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, H-6'), 11.43 (1H, s, D<sub>2</sub>O exchangeable, OH-2'); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz) δ 22.2 (Me-4'), 26.6 (C-3), 112.9 (C-1'), 118.6 (C-3'), 121.1 (C-5'), 132.2 (C-6'), 150.3 (C-4'), 164.3 (C-2'), 195.2 (C-1), 199.3 (C-2); ESIMS *m*/*z* 201 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 201.0532 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Na, 201.0528).

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

**Supporting Information.** This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

**Corresponding Author** 

\*Tel: +886-8-7624002, ext 2827. Fax: +886-8-7624002, ext 5121. E-mail: jjchen@mail.tajen.edu.tw.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was supported by grants from the National Science Council of the Republic of China (Nos. NSC 95-2320-B-127-001-MY3 and NSC 98-2320-B-127-001-MY3), awarded to J.-J.C. We also thank the National Center for High-Performance Computing (NCHC, Taiwan) for providing computer resources and chemical database services.

#### REFERENCES

(1) Peng, C. I.; Chung, K. F.; Li, H. L. *Compositae in Flora of Taiwan*, 2nd ed.; Editorial Committee of the Flora of Taiwan: Taipei, Taiwan, 1998; Vol. 4, pp 804–1101.

(2) Chang, Y. S.; Lin, I. H. *Compendium of Medicinal Plants Used by the Indigenous People of Taiwan*; Committee on Chinese Medicine and Pharmacy, Department of Health, Executive Yuan: Taipei, Taiwan, 2003; pp 533–535.

(3) Chiu, N. Y.; Chang, K. H. The Illustrated Medicinal Plants of Taiwan; SMC Publishing Inc.: Taipei, Taiwan, 2001; Vol. 6, pp 235–236.

(4) Kupchan, S. M.; Hemingway, J. C.; Cassady, J. M.; Knox, J. R.; McPhail, A. T.; Sim, G. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1967**, 89, 465–466.

(5) Kupchan, S. M.; Kelsey, J. E.; Maruyama, M.; Cassady,
 J. M.; Hemingway, J. C.; Knox, J. R. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 3876–3883.

(6) Kupchan, S. M.; Maruyama, M.; Hemingway, R. J.; Hemingway, J. C.; Shibuya, S.; Fujita, T.; Cradwick, P. D.; Hardy, A. D. U.; Sim, G. A.

J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 4914–4916.

(7) Kupchan, S. M.; Fujita, T.; Maruyama, M.; Britton, R. W. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 1260–1264.

(8) Lee, K. H.; Kimura, T.; Haruna, M.; McPhail, A. T.; Onan, K. D.; Huang, H. C. *Phytochemistry* **19**77, *16*, 1068–1070.

(9) Yang, S. P.; Huo, J.; Wang, Y.; Lou, L. G.; Yue, J. M. J. Nat. Prod. 2004, 67, 638–643.

(10) Huo, J.; Yang, S. F.; Ding, J.; Yue, J. M. J. Nat. Prod. 2004, 67, 1470–1475.

(11) Shen, Y. C.; Lo, K. L.; Kuo, Y. H.; Khalil, A. T. J. Nat. Prod. 2005, 68, 745–750.

(12) El-Seedi, H. R.; Sata, N.; Torssell, K. B. G.; Nishiyama, S. J. Nat. Prod. 2002, 65, 728–729.

(13) El-Seedi, H. R.; Ohara, T.; Sata, N.; Nishiyama, S. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2002, 81, 293–296.

(14) Kupchan, S. M.; Sigel, C. W.; Knox, J. R.; Udayamurthy, M. S. J. Org. Chem. **1969**, 34, 1460–1463.

(15) Ferraro, G. E.; Martino, V. S.; Coussio, J. D. J. Nat. Prod. 1988, 51, 586–587.

(16) Clavin, M.; Gorzalczany, S.; Macho, A.; Muñoz, E.; Ferraro, G.; Acevedo, C.; Martino, V. J. Ethnopharmacol. **2007**, *112*, 585–589.

(17) Hendriks, H.; Malingré, T. H. M.; Elema, E. T. Pharm. World Sci. 1983, 5, 281–286.

(18) Hendriks, H.; Balraadjsing, W.; Huising, H. J.; Bruins, A. P. *Planta Med.* **1987**, *53*, 456–461.

(19) Delle Monache, G.; Delle Monache, F.; Becerra, J.; Silva, M.; Menichini, F. *Phytochemistry* **1984**, *23*, 1947–1950.

(20) Tori, M.; Ohara, Y.; Nakashima, K.; Sono, M. J. Nat. Prod. 2001, 64, 1048–1051.

(21) González, A. G.; Fraga, B. M.; Hernandez, M. G.; García, V. P. *Phytochemistry* **1982**, *21*, 1826–1827.

(22) Passreiter, C. M.; Matthiesen, U.; Willuhn, G. *Phytochemistry* **1998**, *49*, 777–781.

(23) Alvarez, R. G.; Hunter, I. S.; Suckling, C. J.; Thomas, M.; Vitinius, U. *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 8581–8587.

(24) Garanti, L.; Zecchi, G.; Pagnoni, U. M. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1977, 14, 445–448.

(25) Bohlmann, F.; Dhar, A. K.; Ahmed, M. Phytochemistry 1980, 19, 1850–1851.

(26) Bohlmann, F.; Kramp, W.; Gupta, R. K.; King, R. M.; Robinson, H. *Phytochemistry* **1981**, *20*, 2375–2378.

(27) Passreiter, C. M.; Willuhn, G.; Weber, H.; Schleifer, K. J. Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 2997–3006.

(28) Mülhaupt, T.; Kaspar, H.; Otto, S.; Reichert, M.; Bringmann, G.; Lindel, T. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, 2005, 334–341.

(29) Pérez-Vásquez, A.; Linares, E.; Bye, R.; Cerda-García-Rojas, C. M.; Mata, R. *Phytochemistry* **2008**, *69*, 1339–1347.

(30) Steelink, C.; Marshall, G. P. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 1429-1433.

(31) Hofer, O. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1986, 2, 715-720.

(32) Chow, Y. L.; Quon, H. H. Phytochemistry 1970, 9, 1151-1152.

(33) Chen, J. J.; Tsai, C. S.; Hwang, T. L.; Shieh, P. C.; Chen, J. F.;

Sung, P. J. Food Chem. 2010, 119, 974-980.

(34) Ennis, M. Curr. Allergy Asthma Rep. 2003, 3, 159–165.

(35) Okajima, K.; Harada, N.; Uchiba, M. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 2002, 301, 1157–1165.

(36) Vinten-Johansen, J. Cardiovasc. Res. 2004, 61, 481–497.

(37) Witko-Sarsat, V.; Rieu, P.; Descamps-Latscha, B.; Lesavre, P.; Halbwachs-Mecarelli, L. *Lab. Invest.* **2000**, *80*, 617–653.

(38) Borregaard, N. Eur. J. Haematol. 1998, 41, 401-413.

(39) Roos, D.; van Bruggen, R.; Meischl, C. Microbes Infect. 2003, 5, 1307–1315.

(40) Chen, Q.; Powell, D. W.; Rane, M. J.; Singh, S.; Butt, W.; Klein, J. B.; McLeish, K. R. *J. Immunol.* **2003**, *170*, 5302–5308.

(41) Sadhu, C.; Dick, K.; Tino, W. T.; Staunton, D. E. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2003, 308, 764–769.

(42) Hwang, T. L.; Leu, Y. L.; Kao, S. H.; Tang, M. C.; Chang, H. L. Free Radical Biol. Med. **2006**, 41, 1433–1441.

(43) Boyum, A. Scand. J. Clin. Lab. Invest. 1968, 97, 77-89.

(44) Jauregui, H. O.; Hayner, N. T.; Driscoll, J. L.; Williams-Holland,

R.; Lipsky, M. H.; Galletti, P. M. In Vitro 1981, 17, 1100-1110.